## Reading First School Level Monitoring Tool

Part One: Instructional Program/Learning System				
Indicator	Supporting Evidence	Status		
<ul> <li>1.1 The school is implementing its comprehensive Reading First program and/or learning system including:</li> <li>Description of the program</li> <li>Current strengthens and weaknesses of the program, and</li> <li>How these strengths and/or weaknesses are affecting student outcomes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Detailed description of the core, supplemental, and intervention components</li> <li>Names/positions of personnel involved</li> <li>Examples of resources being used</li> <li>Examples of materials being used</li> </ul>	Fully Implemented Adequate Progress Minimal Progress Little or No Progress		
1.2 The school regularly monitors the effectiveness of the core program, ensuring that the core has been appropriately augmented for alignment with SBRR and to address program weakness.	Kentucky Evaluation Tool     Minutes from literacy team meetings     Approved program amendments     Other curriculum alignment documents	Fully Implemented Adequate Progress Minimal Progress Little or No Progress		
<ul> <li>1.3 The school's comprehensive Reading First program and/or learning system includes;</li> <li>explicit and systematic instruction in the five essential components of effective reading instruction (phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary development, fluency, and comprehension),</li> <li>a systematic and intentional instructional sequence built around the essential components of reading instruction,</li> <li>coordination and alignment to other programs having a literacy component, including family literacy initiatives, and</li> <li>instructional strategies in reading that will enable students to be proficient readers.</li> </ul>	Examples of instructional strategies that specifically address  • phonemic awareness • phonics • vocabulary development • fluency • reading comprehension Examples of instructional strategies used to teach the content at each level of primary Evidence that strategies were selected based on student and teacher needs Evidence that strategies are scientifically based Evidence that strategies are being used consistently and systematically to ensure high quality implementation Examples of standards based units of study Evidence of curriculum alignment across grade levels Professional development activities focused on these topics Examples of how evaluation data continue to guide program Examples of strategies used to support collaboration efforts Examples of collaborative activities planned with other programs Evidence of family involvement (could be notes home, lesson plans, family nights, teacher interviews) Names/points of contact for other programs Evidence that school plan incorporates these components	Fully Implemented Adequate Progress Minimal Progress Little or No Progress		

September 9, 2005

time Evidence that school allocated more than 90 minutes  1.5 The school's comprehensive Reading First program and/or learning system incorporates a writing component that supports Kentucky writing goals and standards.  1.6 The school's comprehensive Reading First program and/or learning system incorporates a writing component that supports Kentucky writing goals and standards.  1.6 The school's comprehensive Reading First program and/or learning system includes:  1.7 Learning system includes:  1.8 In the school's comprehensive Reading First program and/or learning system includes:  1.9 supplementary strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program,  1.1 In the intensive assistance reading plan for those reading below grade level.  1.2 Additional Comments Part One:  1.3 The school's comprehensive Reading First program and/or learning system includes:  1.4 Calsarson observations:  1.5 Evidence that twriting components are being implemented:  1.6 Classroom schedule shows writing instruction time:  1.7 Classroom schedule shows writing instruction time:  1.8 Evidence that the instructional approaches used in the supplementary and intervention program are not conflicting approaches to the core reading program, and  1.2 In the school's comprehensive Reading First program and/or learning system includes:  1.3 Evidence that the instructional approaches used in the supplementary and intervention program are not conflicting approaches to the core reading program, and  1.5 Evidence that strategies/program used is grounded in scientifically base aciding research practices and instruction instruction approaches to the core reading plans for those reading below grade level.  1.6 Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs  1.7 Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs  1.8 Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs  1.9 Evidence that strategies/programs in not conflicting approaches to the core subject the core subject that	1.4	The school's comprehensive Reading First program and/or	Schedule shows 90 minutes of uninterrupted instruction	Fully Implemented
Evidence that school indeed in the than 50 minutes   Minimal Progress   Little or No Progress   Litt				
Little or No Progress		reading.	Evidence that school allocated more than 90 minutes	_ : •
1.5 The school's comprehensive Reading First program and/or learning system incorporates a writing component that supports Kentucky writing goals and standards.  1.6 The school's comprehensive Reading First program and/or learning system includes:  ■ Student work posted on walls or in folders  ■ classroom schedule shows writing instruction time ■ lesson or unit plans incorporating writing components ■ Evidence that the instructional approaches used in the supplementary strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program, ■ intervention strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program, ■ intervention strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program, and ■ intervention strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading plans for those reading below grade level.  ■ Evidence that the instructional approaches used in the supplementary and intervention program are not conflicting approaches to the core Evidence that each strategy/program used is grounded in scientifically based reading research practices and instruction ■ Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs ■ Consistent and reliable process used to determine which students are eligible for intensive assistance reading plans Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction ■ Evidence that school has established entrance and exit criteria ■ Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan ■ Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  ■ Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-				
classroom observations   classroom observations   classroom observations   student work posted on walls or in folders   classroom schedule shows writing instruction time   classroom observations   classroom observation time   classroom observation   classroom observation time   classroom observations   classroom observation time   classroom observation   classroom observations   classroom observation   classroom obse				
**Student work posted on walls or in folders elassorous schedule shows writing instruction time learning system includes:  **Supplementary and intervention program are not conflicting approaches to the core reading program, and intervention strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program, and intervention strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program, and intervention strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading plan for those reading below grade level.  **Supplementary and intervention program are not conflicting approaches to the core reading program, and intervention strategies/programs were selected based on student needs Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction Evidence that school has established entrance and exit criteria Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  **Additional Comments Part One:**  **Additional Comments Part One:**  **Student work posted on walls or in folders (lasson writing instruction time instruction approaches used in the supplementary and intervention program are not conflicting approaches to the core Evidence that strategy/program used is grounded in scientificially based reading research practices and instruction  **Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs**  **Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs**  **Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction Evidence that school has established entrance and exit criteria intervention plan Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs**  **Additional Comments Part One:**  **Additional Comments Part One:**  **Intervention strategies/program are not conflicting approaches used in the supplementary and intervention program are not conflicting approaches to the core Evidence that strategy/program used is grounded in strategies/programs	1.5			
** Student with posted of what so thin Moters  classroom schedule shows writing instruction time   lesson or unit plans incorporating writing components				Adequate Progress
The school's comprehensive Reading First program and/or learning system includes:		Remucky withing goals and standards.		
1.6 The school's comprehensive Reading First program and/or learning system includes:  • supplementary strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program,  • intervention strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program, and  • intensive assistance reading plan for those reading below grade level.  Fully Implemented supplementary and intervention program are not conflicting approaches to the core Evidence that each strategy/program used is grounded in scientifically based reading research practices and instruction  Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs  Evidence that reading/literacy team monitors student progress on a regular basis  Consistent and reliable process used to determine which students are eligible for intensive assistance reading plans Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction  Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan  Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-			· ·	
learning system includes:  • supplementary strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program,  • intervention strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program, and  • intensive assistance reading plan for those reading below grade level.  • Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs  Evidence that treading/literacy team monitors student progress on a regular basis  Consistent and reliable process used to determine which students are eligible for intensive assistance reading plans  Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction  Evidence that school has established entrance and exit criteria  Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan  Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-	1.6	The school's comprehensive Peading First program and/or		
supplementary strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program,     intervention strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program, and     intensive assistance reading plan for those reading below grade level.    Additional Comments Part One:   Supplementary strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program, and instruction   Evidence that each strategy/program used is grounded in scientifically based reading research practices and instruction   Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs   Evidence that reading/literacy team monitors student progress on a regular basis   Consistent and reliable process used to determine which students are eligible for intensive assistance reading plans   Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction   Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan   Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs    Additional Comments Part One:   1.1-	1.0			
core reading program,  intervention strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program, and  intensive assistance reading plan for those reading below grade level.  Evidence that teach strategy/program used is grounded in scientifically based reading research practices and instruction  Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs  Evidence that treading/literacy team monitors student progress on a regular basis  Consistent and reliable process used to determine which students are eligible for intensive assistance reading plans Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan  Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-				
intervention strategies/programs that are connected to the core reading program, and     intensive assistance reading plan for those reading below grade level.    Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs   Evidence that reading/literacy team monitors student progress on a regular basis   Consistent and reliable process used to determine which students are eligible for intensive assistance reading plans   Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction   Evidence that school has established entrance and exit criteria   Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan   Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs    Additional Comments Part One:   1.1-				
core reading program, and intensive assistance reading plan for those reading below grade level.  Evidence that strategies/programs were selected based on student needs Evidence that reading/literacy team monitors student progress on a regular basis Consistent and reliable process used to determine which students are eligible for intensive assistance reading plans Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction Evidence that school has established entrance and exit criteria Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-		<ul> <li>intervention strategies/programs that are connected to the</li> </ul>		Little or No Progress
student needs Evidence that reading/literacy team monitors student progress on a regular basis Consistent and reliable process used to determine which students are eligible for intensive assistance reading plans Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction Evidence that school has established entrance and exit criteria Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-				
Evidence that reading/literacy team monitors student progress on a regular basis  Consistent and reliable process used to determine which students are eligible for intensive assistance reading plans  Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction  Evidence that school has established entrance and exit criteria  Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan  Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-				
progress on a regular basis  Consistent and reliable process used to determine which students are eligible for intensive assistance reading plans Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction Evidence that school has established entrance and exit criteria Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-		grade level.		
Consistent and reliable process used to determine which students are eligible for intensive assistance reading plans Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction Evidence that school has established entrance and exit criteria Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-				
students are eligible for intensive assistance reading plans  Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction  Evidence that school has established entrance and exit criteria  Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan  Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-				
Evidence that school uses flexible groups for instruction Evidence that school has established entrance and exit criteria Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-				
criteria Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-				
Evidence that system is in place to evaluate effectiveness of supplemental or intervention plan Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-			Evidence that school has established entrance and exit	
of supplemental or intervention plan Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-			***************************************	
Evidence that program goals are modified based on student needs  Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-				
Additional Comments Part One:  1.1-				
Additional Comments Part One: 1.1-				
1.1-	Δdd	itional Comments Part One	Stadent needs	
1.2-				
1.3-	1.3-			
1.4-	1.4-			
1.5-	1.5-			
1.6-				

Part Two: Instructional Assessment				
Indi	cator	Supporting Evidence	Status	
2.1	The school's selected assessments are embedded into the overall assessment framework and they have identified how and who will administer the assessments.	Evidence that the assessment(s) are embedded into the overall assessment framework – master schedules, unit and/or lesson plans that show progress monitoring     Names/positions of personnel responsible for assessment Evidence that School Reading Coach is involved (schedule of time in schools, etc.)     Timelines for administration of assessment	☐ Fully Implemented ☐ Adequate Progress ☐ Minimal Progress ☐ Little or No Progress	
2.2	The school uses information from the assessment(s) to make instructional decisions for primary age students and to inform decisions about appropriate interventions.	Evidence that data is used to inform decisions     Evidence of plan to disseminate data to teachers and other stakeholders     Evidence that dissemination plan is being used to select appropriate interventions (lesson plans, PD activities, etc.)	Fully Implemented Adequate Progress Minimal Progress Little or No Progress	
2.3	<ul> <li>The school has provisions for:</li> <li>analyzing data,</li> <li>monitoring student progress, and</li> <li>system of dissemination of student data and progress.</li> </ul>	Names/positions of person(s) designated to collect, analyze, and compile data     Evidence that school reading coach, administrators, reading/literacy team and others are working collaboratively to monitor student progress     Types of assessment(s) used to monitor student progress     Evidence of plan to disseminate data about student progress     Evidence that these provisions were addressed in PD	☐ Fully Implemented ☐ Adequate Progress ☐ Minimal Progress ☐ Little or No Progress	
Add 2.1- 2.2- 2.3-				

Part Three: Professional Development				
Indicator	Supporting Evidence	Status		
<ul> <li>The Reading First professional development is an integral part of the school-wide PD plan and there is evidence that:</li> <li>all PD activities adhere to the KDE Standards of Professional Development (specifically time for study, practice, implementation, and evaluation),</li> <li>the PD activities are designed to create an intentional, systematic, comprehensive framework to build and strengthen capacity,</li> </ul>	School PD timeline shows Reading First activities PD activities are designed to adhere to high quality professional development standards (job embedded, geared to needs of stakeholders, collaboratively planned, etc.) School/district schedule shows time allocated for teachers to study, practice, implement, and evaluation instruction (substitute teacher logs for teacher release time, common planning time on master schedules, etc.) List of names/positions of stakeholders involved in PD planning, presentation, or implementation showing these stakeholders are representative of the faculty and students of the district Evidence that all stakeholders (teachers, administrators, parents, staff) are included in PD activities (sign-in sheets,	☐ Fully Implemented ☐ Adequate Progress ☐ Minimal Progress ☐ Little or No Progress		
<ul> <li>The Reading First professional development is an integral part of the school-wide PD plan and there is evidence that:</li> <li>the PD activities support scientifically based research in reading instruction, programs, and materials,</li> <li>the PD activities address the five essential components of reading <ul> <li>phonemic awareness</li> <li>phonics</li> <li>vocabulary development</li> <li>fluency</li> <li>comprehension,</li> </ul> </li> <li>the PD schedule is updated and reflects a minimum of 80 hours of Reading First professional development per year.</li> </ul>	agendas showing diverse presenters, etc.)  Names and positions of reading leaders that are available to schools for support  Evidence that PD activities are linked to SBRR such as current student and teacher needs assessment data are used in planning, and/or PD content and activities are based on research on effective reading practices and strategies (PD planned using information from National Reading Panel or other research-based plans)  Evidence that PD was offered related to GRADE and DIBELS (PD timeline, evaluation forms, etc.)  Name and position of person(s) conducting GRADE and DIBELS PD activities  Evidence that PD activities were designed around the needs of students within targeted subgroups (LEP, low SES, disabilities, etc.)			

September 9, 2005

3.3	<ul> <li>The Reading First professional development is an integral part of the school-wide PD plan and there is evidence that:</li> <li>PD activities address the use of valid and reliable reading assessments for screening, diagnosis, and classroom-based monitoring to guide instructional decisions,</li> <li>PD activities focus on improving reading achievement and accelerating reading performance.</li> </ul>	_	PD timeline shows that each component of effective reading is covered with emphasis on components identified by needs assessment (PD surveys indicate that teachers received adequate training in these 5 areas) Schedule to show annual activities designed to orient new teachers to SBRR (PD sessions for new teachers, mentoring, coaching, use of video archive of past PD sessions, etc.)  Names/positions of persons working with new teachers Evidence of communication between school administrators and district on systematic way to evaluate which teachers need additional assistance and who will provide that	
3.4	<ul> <li>The Reading First professional development is an integral part of the school-wide PD plan and there is evidence that:</li> <li>new teachers are oriented in scientifically based reading research, reading programs, materials, and assessment annually,</li> <li>PD activities are planned to support teachers needing additional assistance,</li> <li>PD activities are designed to include and address the needs of all primary teachers (K-3) and special education teachers (K-3), and</li> </ul>		assistance Schedule to show activities to assist teachers who need more assistance (content-intensive PD sessions based on teacher needs, mentoring, coaching, Teachers Growth Plan, etc.) Names/positions of teachers attending PD to ensure all teachers (e.g., special education and library/media specialists) are included, including those in non-RF schools Evidence that PD activities are inclusive so information is relevant to all teachers Names/positions of teachers planning and/or presenting PD to ensure all teachers are included, including those in non-RF schools	
3.5	The school periodically evaluates the effectiveness of the Reading First professional development activities, and there is a process for adjusting professional development as needed.		Copy of survey instrument designed and used to assess PD effectiveness Copy of teacher and/or administrative interview instrument designed and used to assess PD effectiveness Evidence that PD is regularly evaluated (PD timeline, copies of surveys showing dates, etc.) Results of PD assessment Evidence that results were used to adjust future PD to meet identified needs (e.g., adjusted PD timelines that might show more than the 80 required hours)	☐ Fully Implemented ☐ Adequate Progress ☐ Minimal Progress ☐ Little or No Progress

September 9, 2005

<ul> <li>3.6 The school Reading Coach will support and monitor professional development by collecting and analyzing data to include:</li> <li>assessing participants' pre and post knowledge of content relating to SBRR, and</li> <li>reflect on progress of school based professional development related to overall Reading First implementation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Evidence that reading coach is involved in planning, presenting, and/or implementing PD activities (PD agendas, notes from planning meetings, etc.)</li> <li>Plan developed by School Reading Coach to monitor PD activities and outcomes (observation form, surveys, etc.)</li> <li>Evidence that PD evaluation information is shared with all primary teachers, administrators, and other stakeholders (e.g., standardized form for information sharing)</li> <li>Evidence that all Reading First coaches, administrators, and other personnel meet regularly to reflect on progress and design "next steps" (meeting agendas, meeting minutes, School Coach log, etc.)</li> <li>PD timeline reflects when progress reports will be provided to stakeholders</li> <li>Coaches log provides evidence that follow-up has been provided when needed</li> </ul>	☐ Fully Implemented ☐ Adequate Progress ☐ Minimal Progress ☐ Little or No Progress
Additional Comments Part Three:		
3.1-		
3.2-		
3.3-		
3.4-		
3.5-		
3.6-		

Part Four: Access To Print Materials			
Indicator	Supporting Evidence	Status	
<ul> <li>4.1 The school is promoting access to print materials for students and families by: <ul> <li>forming partnerships with the public library</li> <li>funding and creating classroom libraries</li> <li>funding and planning summer activities</li> <li>creating a professional staff library, and</li> <li>ensuring materials are in digital format when appropriate (consistent with 704 KAR 3:455 Instructional Material and Textbook Adoption).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Additional Comments Standard Four:</li> </ul>	Contact names/positions of personnel within the public library system who are members of the partnership List and/or description of activities with the public library designed around literacy  Evidence that the activities planned were designed to meet the needs of a variety of audiences – students, parents, teachers, etc. (list of activities will show diversity of content)  Timeline is provided with planned activities outlined Description of the types of materials included in all grade level classroom libraries – should be inclusive of all genres outlined in the KY Core Content for Reading Assessment Budget reflects these expenditures for classroom libraries List and/or description of activities designed around literacy for summer months  List of other programs that are collaborating with district to offer summer literacy activities (e.g., local colleges and universities or businesses)  Evidence that individual schools are given the support and resources for summer literacy activities such as extended library hours for families, creating reading packets with books available for students to take home for the summer, etc.  Evidence that appropriate and accessible space and resources have been allocated for professional staff library Evidence that there is a systematic way for staff to request and use materials  Evidence that classrooms have the necessary technology to access digital format of materials  List of digit materials and their level of accessibility	☐ Fully Implemented ☐ Adequate Progress ☐ Minimal Progress ☐ Little or No Progress	
4.1-			

Part Five: School Implementation				
Indicator	Supporting Evidence	Status		
<ul> <li>5.1 The school provides the support and resources, including time needed, to implement and monitor its Kentucky Reading First program including: <ul> <li>How the school reading team reviews and revises the Reading First plan periodically.</li> <li>How the school reading team shares information with the School Based Decision Making Council</li> <li>The process for sustaining the reading program beyond the funding period.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Schedule of school reading team meetings</li> <li>Minutes from school reading team meetings</li> <li>Approved amendments of the Reading First plan</li> <li>Examples of partnership with other agencies for support and resources.</li> <li>Examples of the multiple sources of data used in the review of the plan</li> <li>Minutes from School Based Decision Making Council meetings</li> <li>Timeline and goals for Reading First activities (including all non-negotiables)</li> <li>Example of plans for building capacity to support the program beyond the funding period (i.e., teacher leaders, Title 1 support)</li> </ul>	☐ Fully Implemented ☐ Adequate Progress ☐ Minimal Progress ☐ Little or No Progress		
Additional Comments Part Five: 5.1-				

Part Six: Family Literacy Involvement			
Indicator	Supporting Evidence	Status	
<ul> <li>The school promotes family literacy involvement by:         <ul> <li>explaining the Kentucky Reading First approach to parents</li> <li>providing take-home activities to reinforce reading lessons in school</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Evidence that parents have been given the opportunity to become informed about KY Reading First (e.g., parent meeting agendas and sign-in sheets, parent conference documentation)     Examples of activities or materials used for parent involvement     Names/positions of persons responsible for developing and disseminating take-home materials and activities	☐ Fully Implemented ☐ Adequate Progress ☐ Minimal Progress ☐ Little or No Progress	
<ul> <li>The school promotes family literacy involvement by:         <ul> <li>addressing low literacy levels of parents in both informational materials and take-home activities</li> <li>developing a plan to refer parents to adult education or family literacy services</li> <li>planning joint activities with adult or family literacy services</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Examples of take-home activities for parents  Evidence there is follow-up with parents on activities (e.g., phone logs, parent signature sheets for materials, etc.)  Examples of evaluation documents used to assess the effectiveness of take-home materials and activities  Examples of how materials and activities are designed to meet the literacy needs of all parents  Names/positions of persons designated to work with parents needed additional assistance due to literacy level Names/positions of persons designated to collaborate with parents and other literacy initiatives  Examples of referral process for parents to have access to adult or family literacy services, including means for transportation  Evidence that the needs of parents and families have been assessed prior to planning activities  Evidence that planned activities were based on parent and family needs  Timeline showing family literacy activities and projects  Budget reflects needed resources for family literacy activities and projects		
<ul> <li>The school promotes family literacy involvement by:         <ul> <li>participating in PD and training provided by the KY Institute for Family Literacy in order to align family literacy activities, and</li> <li>collaborating with the KY Institute for Family Literacy.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
Additional Comments Part Six: 6.1-			
6.2-			
6.3-			

Part Seven: Evaluation of School Plan				
Indicator	Supporting Evidence	Status		
<ul> <li>7.1 The school has a comprehensive evaluation plan that: <ul> <li>identifies the variety of data to be collected and names the person designated to collect the data</li> <li>has measurable objectives for instructional practice and student achievement in the 5 essential components of reading, and</li> <li>includes specific and measurable benchmarks.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Additional Comments Part Seven:</li> </ul>	List and description of types of data to be collected including formal and informal measures to determine effectiveness of RF program (teacher surveys, teacher observation, student surveys, parent surveys, CATS scores, results from GRADE, DIBELS, and Terra Nova, etc.)  Evidence that data is being collected from all student subgroups  Name/qualifications of person designated to collect data List of identified measurable benchmarks  Timeline showing when benchmarks will be assess and results disseminated  Evidence that school has met identified goals (current data)  Evidence of plan to disseminate data to schools for use in school improvement, ongoing PD, curriculum decisions, support at the school level (standardized form for data, email updates, agendas from meetings, etc.)  Evidence that data has been shared with all stakeholder groups (school council records, principal's meeting agendas, etc.)  Evaluation plan specifically outlines how instructional practice and student achievement will be assessed and how that data will be collected and used  Evidence that school uses evaluation data in planning for school improvement, ongoing PD, curriculum decisions, support at the classroom level (work session agendas, task force members, email updates, school or district-wide PD, etc.)  Evidence that school plan in place is the original plan outlined in the grant, or if not, evidence of how and why school plan was modified	Fully Implemented Adequate Progress Minimal Progress Little or No Progress		
Additional Comments Part Seven: 7.1-	Evidence that school plan in place is the original plan outlined in the grant, or if not, evidence of how and why			

Part Eight: School Budget			
Indicator	Supporting Evidence	Status	
<ul> <li>8.1 The school's fiscal resources have been used to:</li> <li>support implementation of the plan</li> <li>direct and conduct proposed activities</li> <li>fund activities and/or programs in coordination with other federal, state, and local programs and resources.</li> </ul>	List of non-negotiables and evidence they were funded in a timely manner (include timeline) Percentage of funds spent and funds remaining Evidence that there is a direct match between funds spent and the original budget Evidence that expenditures match student needs and student numbers (includes or references student data) Justification of materials purchased Amount and source of other funds used (include contact names and type of support)	☐ Fully Implemented ☐ Adequate Progress ☐ Minimal Progress ☐ Little or No Progress	
Additional Comments Part Eight:			
8.1-			